<del>-1</del>-

- 1 2 3 4
- 6 7

8

5

- 9 10 11
- 13 14

12

- 15 16
- 18 19

17

- 20
- 21 22
- 23

24

- 25 26 27
- 28 29

- 4. Certain of the paragraphs do not aver facts, but are legal contentions, rhetorical statements, musings, speculative conclusions or prayers for relief. To the extent that they may be considered allegations of fact, they are denicd. Those paragraphs are: 2, 4, 5, 6, 40-46, 54, 55, 59, 62, 95-98, 101-112, 117-127, 139-141, 145-151, 229-231, 261-263, 272-274, 280-282, 320-325, 327, 334, 337, 338, 345, 346, 358, 360, 378.
- 5. In response to paragraph 90, defendant admits those allegations, except that the justification for entry of default was the Superior Court's determination that Bradshaw had been properly served by certified mail, had appeared in the case, and had waived any challenge to insufficient service of process, as articulated in the Order Denying Motion to Remove Case From Trial Docket filed February 22, 2000, and that Bradshaw had failed to file an answer.
- 6. Certain paragraphs mcrely incorporate preceding paragraphs by reference. Response to the incorporated paragraphs are as noted above. Those incorporating paragraphs are: 211, 232, 264, 275, 318, 328, 339, 356, 375, 379.
- 7. The Court, by an order entered December 1, 2006, struck the following paragraphs: 126-127, 322 (in part), 334 and 359 (in part).
- 8. The Court, by an order entered December 14, 2006, struck paragraphs 2-4 of the prayer for relief of the third amended complaint, and ordered all defendants to file an answer within 20 days thereof...

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 9. The third amended complaint fails to state a claim against this answering defendant.
- 10. The claims brought are barred by the applicable statute of limitations and/or the doctrine of laches.
- 11. Plaintiff has waived any rights he may have had that provide the basis for this action and is thereby estopped from any relief.

- 12. To whatever extent anyone may have misrepresented the receipt by plaintiff of service of process, such misrepresentation was not the cause of the default judgment entered against him, and hence, did not result in any harm to plaintiff.
- 13. Plaintiff was negligent with regard to whatever actions or omissions that plaintiff alleges gave rise to his present claims, which negligence caused some or all of the injuries for which he now claims.
- 14. To whatever extent that this defendant is alleged to have made defamatory statements about plaintiff, the statements made were true.
- 15. Defendant had an absolute and/or qualified privilege to publish the statements, if any, that plaintiff claims were defamatory.

WHEREFORE, defendant prays that plaintiff take nothing by his complaint, that defendant be awarded judgment against plaintiff, and that defendant recover costs of suit herein incurred, as well as such other relief as the court may deem proper.

> Jay H. Sorensen In Pro Se

## DEMAND FOR JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this answering defendant hereby requests that all issues brought against him be submitted for jury determination.

> Jay H. Sorensen In Pro Se

28

29

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

3 4

The undersigned hereby certifies that on

ANUARY 4 2007

5 6

a copy of each of the following: AMENDED ANSWER TO THIRD AMENDED

7

COMPLAINT; DEMAND FOR JURY

8 9

were deposited for delivery to the U.S. Post Office, first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed as set forth below (except as noted below):

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

29

Robert D. Bradshaw P.O. Box 473 1530 W. Trout Creek Road Calder, Idaho 83808

- \* Office of the Attorney General Civil Division Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Hon. Juan A. Sablan Bldg Caller Box 10007 Saipan, MP 96950
- \* Mark B. Hanson First Floor, Macaranas Bldg. Beach Road, Garapan PMB 738, P.O. Box 10,000 Saipan, MP 96950

\* Served electronically by agreement of the parties